MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT:	Draft Sp	ecial Forces	Requirements of OCPW	
l. Havir	g received a	copy from O	S of subject requirements I	
undertook inform	nally and con	fidential to	obtain the comments of OIR,	
Geographics,		and OIS.		
2. State	s comments,	, which we ha	ve in memorandum form, des-	•
			erage of the requirements by	
the NIS Outline.		comments,	which we have in memorandum	
form, are in the	nature of a	critique of the	requirements along the lines	25X1
of the usefulness	and whether	r or not and he	ow they should be produced	
		ves the requir	ements too encompassing and	25X1
not limited enoug	gh to need.	<u> </u>	has indicated that he believes	
the requirement	s would be m	ore appropria	tely levied on specific areas to) -1
support specific	operations a	lithough some	of them may well be accomplished OIS indicated	i.
in the NIS progr.	am; ne gener	ally accords	with Old indicated	
considers the re	quirements a	as especially	containing some basic intellige d in the NIS Outline but on the	1100
mbole the manufacture	amente shou	ld he levied to	meet specific known needs.	
Attore the reduct	ememe anor	00 101104 10		
3. From	an examina	tion of the rec	quirements and after discussion	n
			de at this point as follows:	
a) That the draft	requiremen	its contain a s	mall percentage of basic type	
requirements wh	aich could be	included in th	ne NIS Outline, b) that the maj	ority
			by specific area requirements	aris-
			chiefs for operations, i.e.,	
	s in specific	areas requir	ed for accomplishment by spec	121
forces.				OEV4

4. I learned from OIS that two meetings were held in December and January last, between PP and OCPW. of Geographics attended the first meeting and OCPW informed that the agency would comment informally. At the second meeting the record indicates that the draft requirements

25X1

CECRET

would be revised topically to conform to the NIS Outline and submitted through regular channels -- G-2 -- to the Agency for comment. I am advised that a 40 page requirement has now been levied by OCPW upon G-2. Skalnik of G-2 has told me that G-2 will not forward a requirement to NIS until they are satisfied it is realistic.

- 5. In view of information to the effect that certain PP officers are sympathetic to the OCPW requirements, it would appear, in view of the opinion expressed in paragraphs two and three above that within CIA we (PCS/DCI, OIS and PP) should have a meeting to determine an agreed approach to this problem. Later, the actual production offices would have to be consulted further.
- 6. The unconventional warfare offices can decide what information they need. However, any sympathy on their part for the OCPW requirements does not reflect a CIA position on the feasibility or possibility of actual production of intelligence. To undertake production on large areas for a series of countries with all the information OCPW has indicated it needs now would be a massive and uneconomical undertaking.

will be at dinner with General Bullock on Wednesday evening. June 1, at which time there may be some discussion on this question. It would be advisable for the meeting of interested CIA officials to take place before that time. Such a meeting will be held 11 a.m. Tuesday morning, 31 May 1955.

Since the		was dictated a meeting was held	
ween	(PP),	(OIS) and	nes of
	s apparently a m	eeting of the minds along the li	
agraph 3.	•		
*			
		· ·	
			23
		Office of the Director	
		Planning and Coordination S	

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

PCS/DCI:

Distribution:

(31 May 1955)

1 Chrono

1 PCS